IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2000 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

ARUNKUMAR DHAVALE AND OTHERS

Versus

INDIA INSTITUTE AND ANOTHER

Appearance:

M/S NJ MEHTA ASSO. for Petitioners

MR KS NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1, 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date of decision: 22/06/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Shahani for the petitioners states under instructions from petitioner Nos.1 and 2 that in view of the fact that the persons whose names appear at Annexure 'A' showing the duty schedule, except for one Mr.Nair, all other persons have been transferred out of the

Telephone Section of the respondent-employer and, hence, the said persons do not have any grievance because they are not concerned any more with the subject matter. Insofar as Mr.Nair is concerned, it is further stated that Mr.Nair appears to be satisfied with the duty schedule. In view of these circumstances, it is submitted that the petition has become infructuous and Mr.Shahani seeks permission to withdraw the same. Permission granted. The petition is rejected as being infructuous. Interim relief stands vacated. Rule discharged with no order as to costs.

(D.A.Mehta, J)

'Bhavesh'